

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,885		08/21/2003	Paul Roland Bergquist	J6819(C)	8190
201	7590	04/21/2006		EXAM	INER
		LECTUAL PROPI	TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ		
700 SYLV BLDG C2	VAN AVEN 2 SOUTH	UE,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ENGLEW	OOD CLIF	FS, NJ 07632-3100	1771		
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/645,885	BERGQUIST ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: _ Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,4 and 7-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____. Norca L. Torres-Velazquez Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1771

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As stated in the previous office action, the alleged support for the term "synthetic fibers" is not proper since Applicants are trying to claim a broader term than what is supported by the Specification. The Specification provides support for polypropylene fibers, but not support for the numerous materials encompassed by the term synthetic. With regards to the patent publications incorporated by reference in the Specification, and arguments indicating that these publications providing background on "textiles" has been noted, however, the Examiner maintains her position that these references are incorporated to show methods on how textiles are impregnated or coated with lathering surfactants or skin conditioners and not to particularly provide support for the use of "any synthetic fiber" versus polypropylene fibers which is the material supported by the Specification. Therefore, the rejection of the claims as containing new matter is maintained herein. With regards to the combination of SUSKIND in view of BOUCHETTE, the Examiner maintains her position that substituting the top and bottom areas of the structure of SUSKIND for the synthetic fibers material of BOUCHETTE would have been obvious with the motivation of maximizing the desirable and hand feel associated with synthetic compared to wood pulp materials. It is further noted that by using BOUCHETTE synthetic materials will optimize the properties of the wipes of SUSKIND by providing it with the enhanced feel described by BOUCHETTE. It is the Examiner's interpretation that the structure produced from the combination of SUSKIND and BOUCHETTE will inherently possess the presently claimed properties.